MATH 223: Multivariable Calculus



Class 35: May 13, 2022

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ



Notes on Assignment 33 Assignment 34

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

Announcements

Independent Projects Due Monday

Course Response Forms: Monday Bring Your Laptop To Class

Final Exam: Thursday, May 19 from 2 PM to 5 PM MATH 223 A : 311 Munroe MATH 223 B: 317 Munroe

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Good Review Questions For Final

In attempting to generalize the definition of *differentiability* of a real-valued function of a real variable introduced in beginning calculus, we have seen several different definitions advanced in this course for *derivatives* and for *differentiability* in settings involving multivariable mathematics.

What are some of the ways we have generalized the basic definition from elementary calculus?

Make sure you consider independently the cases $f: \mathcal{R}^1 \to \mathcal{R}^1, f: \mathcal{R}^1 \to \mathcal{R}^n, f: \mathcal{R}^n \to \mathcal{R}^1$, and $f: \mathcal{R}^n \to \mathcal{R}^m$. In each case, show how the Chain Rule is generalized to this new setting.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Good Review Questions For Final

We have a seen a number of theorems each of which claimed to be a generalization of the *Fundamental Theorem of Calculus* first presented in beginning calculus; e.g., the theorems of Green, Gauss and Stokes together with several others. Discuss these theorems, giving a careful statement of the hypotheses and conclusions of each one, along with a clear account of how each one might in fact be properly viewed as a generalization of the Fundamental Theorem.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Consequences of Stokes's Theorem



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで



George Gabriel Stokes August 13, 1819 – February 1, 1903 Stokes Biography

ヘロト ヘロト ヘビト ヘビト

э

Interpretation of Curl

(1) The direction of curl $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x})$ is the axis about which \mathbf{F} rotates most rapidly at \mathbf{x} . The length of curl $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x})$ is the maximum rate of rotation at \mathbf{x} .

(2) Maxwell's Equations: curl $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{I}$ where \mathbf{I} is the vector current flow in an electrical conductor and \mathbf{B} is the magnetic field which the current flow induces in the surrounding space.

Stokes's Theorem then yields Ampere's Law:

$$\int_{S} \mathbf{I} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \int_{\partial S} \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{x},$$

the total current flux across S is the circulation of the magnetic field around the border curve ∂S that encircles the conductor.

Definitions: A vector field \mathbf{F} is divergent-free if div $\mathbf{F} = 0$ and \mathbf{F} is curl-free if curl $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{0}$.



James Clerk Maxwell (June 13, 1831 – November 5, 1879) Maxwell Biography

Name	Equation	
	Integral form	Differential form
Faraday's law of induction	$\oint_{c} \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{l} = -\iint_{S} \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} \cdot d\vec{S}$	$\nabla imes \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t}$
Ampère-Maxwell law	$\oint_{c} \vec{H} \cdot d\vec{l} = \iint_{S} \vec{J} \cdot d\vec{S} + \iint_{S} \frac{\partial \vec{D}}{\partial t} \cdot d\vec{S}$	$ abla imes \vec{H} = \vec{J} + \frac{\partial \vec{D}}{\partial t}$
Gauss' electric law	$ \oint_{S} \vec{D} \cdot d\vec{S} = \iiint_{V} \rho dV $	$ abla \cdot \vec{D} = \rho$
Gauss' magnetic law	$\oint _{S} \vec{B} \cdot \vec{dS} = 0$	$\nabla \cdot \vec{B} = 0$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

<u>Theorem:</u> A continuously differentiable gradient field has a symmetric Jacobian matrix.

<u>Proof</u>: If **F** is a gradient field, then $\mathbf{F} = \nabla f$ for some real-valued function f.

Then $\mathbf{F} = (f_x, f_y)$ so the Jacobian matrix is

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} f_{xx} & f_{xy} \\ f_{yx} & f_{yy} \end{pmatrix}$$

By Continuity of Mixed Partials, $f_{xy} = f_{yx}$ so J is symmetric. <u>Theorem:</u> If **F** is conservative, then its Jacobian is symmetric.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

<u>Theorem</u>: If \mathbf{F} is conservative, then its Jacobian is symmetric.

The converse (Symmetric Jacobian Implies Conservative) is **FALSE** in general.

Example: Consider the vector field $\mathbf{F}(x,y) = \left(\frac{-y}{x^2+y^2}, \frac{x}{x^2+y^2}\right)$ $\frac{1}{2^2}$ $\frac{1}$. · · · · -2 - · · · · defined for all $(x, y) \neq (0, 0)$ Then Jacobian $= \begin{pmatrix} - & \frac{y^2 - x^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} \\ \frac{y^2 - x^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} & - \end{pmatrix}$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

$$\mathbf{F}(x,y) = \left(\frac{-y}{x^2 + y^2}, \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}\right)$$

Has a Symmetric Jacobian But Is Not Conservative! If **F** were conservative, then the line integral of **F** around any closed loop would be 0.

Consider γ the unit circle as a loop running counterclockwise starting and ending at (1.0).



$$\mathbf{F}(x,y) = \left(\frac{-y}{x^2 + y^2}, \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}\right)$$

 γ : unit circle as a loop running counterclockwise starting and ending at (1.0). We parametrize γ by $g(t) = (\cos t, \sin t), 0\pi$ so that $g'(t) = (-\sin t, \cos t)$ and

$$\mathbf{F}(g(t)) = \left(\frac{-\sin t}{\cos^2 t + \sin^2 t}, \frac{\cos t}{\cos^2 t + \sin^2 t}\right) = (-\sin t, \cos t)$$

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}(g(t)) \cdot g'(t) &= (-\sin t, \cos t) \cdot (-\sin t, \cos t) = \sin^2 t + \cos^2 t = 1\\ \text{Thus } \int_{\gamma} \mathbf{F} &= \int_{0}^{2\pi} 1 \, dt = 2\pi \neq 0. \end{aligned}$

What is Wrong the Vector Field

$$\mathbf{F}(x,y) = \left(\frac{-y}{x^2 + y^2}, \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

The Domain of the Vector Field (Plane minus the Origin) Is Not Simply Connected.



Simple Connectedness

A set B is simply connected if every closed curve in B can be continuously contracted to a point in such a way as to stay in Bduring the contraction. More precisely,

Definition: An open set B is simply connected if every piecewise smooth closed curve lying in B is the border of some piecewise smooth orientable surface S lying in B, and with parameter domain a disk in \mathcal{R}^2 .

Theorem: Let \mathbf{F} be a continuously differentiable vector field defined on an open set B in \mathcal{R}^2 or \mathcal{R}^3 . If B is simply connected and curl \mathbf{F} is identically zero in B, then \mathbf{F} is a gradient field in B; that is, there is a real-valued function f such that $\mathbf{F} = \nabla f$



(a) A monthly be a series of all descents

(d) A model also associated demote

) Q (

æ





◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Theorem: Let \mathbf{F} be a continuously differentiable vector field defined on an open set B in \mathcal{R}^2 or \mathcal{R}^3 . If B is simply connected and curl \mathbf{F} is identically zero in B, then \mathbf{F} is a gradient field in B; that is, there is a real-valued function f such that $\mathbf{F} = \nabla f$

Proof: Let γ be a piecewise smooth closed loop in B. Because B is simply connected, there is a piecewise smooth surface S of which γ is the boundary. By Stokes' Theorem

$$\int_{\gamma} \mathbf{F} = \int_{S} \operatorname{curl} \, \mathbf{F} = \int_{S} \mathbf{0} = 0.$$

A D N A 目 N A E N A E N A B N A C N

Thus **F** is path-independent and hence conservative.

Theorem: Let \mathbf{F} be a continuously differentiable vector field defined on an open set B in \mathcal{R}^2 or \mathcal{R}^3 . If B is simply connected and curl \mathbf{F} is identically zero in B, then \mathbf{F} is a gradient field in B; that is, there is a real-valued function f such that $\mathbf{F} = \nabla f$

Theorem: If the Jacobian matrix of a continuously differentiable vector field on a simply connected set is symmetric, then the vector field is conservative. Proof: Suppose **F** is a vector field in \mathcal{R}^3 with $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}) = (F(\mathbf{x}), G(\mathbf{x}), H(\mathbf{x}))$ where $\mathbf{x} = (x, y, z)$ $Jacobian = \begin{pmatrix} F_x & F_y & F_z \\ G_x & G_y & G_z \\ H_x & H_y & H_z \end{pmatrix}$ with $F_z = H_x$ $G_z = H_y$ curl $\mathbf{F} = (H_y - G_z, H_x - F_z, G_x - F_y) = (0, 0, 0) = \mathbf{0}$